

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,990	10/18/2000	Steven Benjamin Davis	2237.1 CONT.11	2111
5514 75	590 10/05/2004	•	EXAMINER	
	10/18/2000 Steven Benjamin Davis 7590 10/05/2004 PATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO CKEFELLER PLAZA	WASYLCHAK, STEVEN R		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3624	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/690,990	DAVIS ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Steven R. Wasylchak	3624
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SI THE - Ex afte - If th - Fai An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ar SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply libration of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period liture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute yreply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT a, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  'HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 C	October 2000.	
• -		action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	ition of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 20-46 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-32;43-46 is/are w	rithdrawn from consideration	n.
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>33-42</u> is/are rejected.		
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority document  Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been i	oplication No
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	,	eceived.
	223 M. G.	2 Co Ca copido fiot i	
Attachme	• •	_	
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) prmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	per No(s)/Mail Date	6)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Art Unit: 3624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 33-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muftic (US 5,850,442).

Claims:

33. A business process for creating a secure contract over a network, comprising the steps of:

generating a contract agreement, comprising the steps of determining a contract rule set, determining a set of expectations for one or more contracting parties, and determining potential contract outcomes;/col 7, L46-63; fig 22,24

receiving initial conditions for contract generation from the one or more contracting parties;fig 22

carrying out contract activity according to the contract rule set such that the one or more contracting parties act in a synchronized manner according to the contract rule set and the set of expectations;/fig 23,24

generating a non-refutable contract log detailing all contract transactions;/fig 7(700)

Art Unit: 3624

generating a theoretical contract log detailing expected contract transactions based on the contract rule set, the set of expectations, and the initial conditions; and/fig 7(700); fig 23-25

Muftic does not explicitly disclose verifying the contract transactions as recorded in the contract log by comparing the contract transactions in the contract log to the expected contract transactions in the theoretical contract log.

Official notice is taken that this feature is old and well known in the e-commerce art and / or retail art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement this feature for the advantage of checking those potential or theoretical contracts that have an expiration date do not lapse.

- 34. The business process according to Claim 33, wherein after the process is completed, financial transactions occur based upon the secure contract./ fig 24,25
- 35. The business process according to Claim 33, where the contract activities over the network are non-refutable./fig 27,28,30
- 36. The business process according to Claim 35, where one or more digital signatures are used to provide non-refutable identification of the one or more contracting parties./fig 22, 23

Art Unit: 3624

37. The business process according to Claim 35, where the activities are non-

refutable by any of the one or more contracting parties to the secure contract./fig

27,28,30

38. The business process according to Claim 35, where the activities are non-

refutable by a supporting party with an interest in the secure contract./ fig-

24,27,28,30

39. Muftic does not explicitly disclose the activities are non-refutable by a

supporting party providing recourse for improper contracts. Official notice is

taken that this feature is old and well known in the e-commerce art and / or retail

art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

applicant's invention to implement this feature for the advantage of providing

liquidating and/or punitive damages for breach of contract such that damages are

preset in the contract.

40. The business process according to Claim 33, where the contract rule set and

initial conditions further comprise hidden events, which comprise random events

generated by a trusted third party./ claim 25 of art; col 7, L 45-64 (authenticating

suggests the possibility of hidden or random events by a trusted third party

Art Unit: 3624

41. The business process according to Claim 33, where the contract log further comprises simultaneous events, wherein said simultaneous events are held, controlled and verified by a trusted third party./fig 7,23,25; claim 25 of art

42. The business process according to Claim 33, where any contracting or supporting party can provide recourse in the event of problems during creation of the secure contract./claim 20 of art: verification; claim 27 of art: authorization

This action is **NON-FINAL**. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven R. SWasylchak whose telephone number is (703) 308-2848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Milling, can be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax number for Art Unit 3624 is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Steven Wasylchak

9/27/04 6

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600